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The Need for Alternatives to the Nineteen Standard Real Estate Product Types

Real Estate Is Dead, Long Live Real Estate

During the 1980s, the American real estate industry engaged in the largest building boom in the country’s history. More office buildings went up during that decade than since the founding of the Republic.1 Huge single-story industrial buildings were constructed that could contain multiple football fields, and retailing evolved to produce what we now call big boxes in power centers. The vast majority of this space was built in the suburbs at low suburban densities.2 To finance this building boom, real estate developers borrowed billions of dollars from the savings and loan industry, commercial banks, insurance companies, pension plans, individual investors, and others. Unfortunately...the developers forgot to pay much of it back. In the most spectacular real estate failure since the Great Depression, the overbuilding of the 1980s resulted in the massive financial hangover of the early 1990s. Much of the S&L industry went bust, a significant amount of the equity base of the banking industry was lost, some of the oldest insurance companies disappeared, and many individuals lost their life’s savings. Eventually, it took $1.5 billion in federal taxpayer money and the impressive work of the federally chartered Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) to right the country’s financial system.3 When the full magnitude of the disaster became clear, the Federal Reserve also decided that controls needed to be put on the real estate industry, and it turned to Wall Street investment banks, in part, to help impose them. The controls imposed in the early 1990s focused on both components of real estate finance: debt and equity. On the debt side, the RTC created a secondary market for commercial and residential real estate borrowing.4 On the equity side, investment bankers and virtually bankrupt commercial, apartment and industrial real estate developers rediscovered a nearly forgotten IRS corporate category for owning real estate assets, real estate investment trusts. In a brilliant reversal of fortunes, REITs provided liquidity for what had previously been considered one of the most illiquid of assets. Amidst the real estate investment debacle of the early 1990s nearly 100 REITs of the class of 1993 succeeded in taking nearly worthless portfolios of commercial, apartment and industrial properties to publicly traded equity market (primarily the New York Stock Exchange NYSE), where they found institutions and individuals willing to buy them. The success did not stop there. There are now some 180 publicly traded REITs, with a total asset value of more than $400 billion.5 Not to be outdone, Wall Street in the 1990s also fell in love (as it has numerous times over the previous forty years) with publicly traded homebuilding companies a love affair that continues today, along with a boom in residential real estate values. Most important, the changes of the early 1990s marked the end of real estate as it had been known for centuries. Like politics, real estate had always been a local business, built by local developers and owned by local investors.6 However, over the course of the last twenty years, the majority of real estate debt and equity has come to be traded in one form or another on Wall Street. As an asset class, real estate has joined stocks, bonds and cash as a widely held and important forms of American investment. Perhaps more to the point in a discussion of placemaking commodification has also resulted in extreme specialization. REITs’ today narrowly and many times does, change the built environment, most often through what produce it. An entire company may even devote its considerable energies and capital to making money in suburban rental apartments. Why is this history so important to the revitalization of suburbia? The answer is that while good design should, and many times does, change the built environment, most times it is financial interest that dictates what will be built. With the notable exception of endowed museums, educational institutions, and federal courthouses, the bottom-line as interpreted by Wall Street now rules. Why and how has this happened? Wall Street, and modern financial markets in general, provide an efficient means of pricing and trading assets as they have since their emergence in Holland in the sixteenth century. What undergirds this efficiency is a guarantee that trading takes place like for like. No NYSE or Chicago Commodity floor trader examines the fine print on a share of Intel class B stock, a grade A pork belly, or a Euro-denominated bond. A massive underwriting system, managed by investment bankers and credit-rating agencies, guarantees that what is traded is the same. As William Bernstein wrote in The Birth of Plenty, an efficient market is one where buyers and sellers freely and openly transact business in high volume at nearly identical prices.7 As mentioned, the main benefits of access to the new market for real estate developers has been access to financing and dispersal of investment risk. But to participate in it, they have had to commodify what they build, and this has meant ensuring that each unit of each product type was adequately similar to every other. Such a situation has very quickly led to what can be called the Nineteen Standard Real Estate Products, listed in the accompanying chart.8 From an investment point of view, commodification has also resulted in extreme specialization. REITs’ today all specialize in one product type or another.9 A banker may spend an entire career understanding the suburban office product, and as a Wall Street investment analyst may focus 100 percent of his or her time understanding the details of entry-level, for-sale housing and the companies that produce it. An entire company may even devote its considerable energies and capital to making money in suburban rental apartments. Perhaps more to the point in a discussion of placemaking, commodification has also required the elimination of external influences. Thus, each of the nineteen product types is modular and stand-alone, a condition which almost guarantees low-density sprawl. In fact, suburban sprawl, which has a floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.2 to 0.4, is now evolving into semi-rural sprawl, which has an FAR of less than 0.1.10
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Retrofitting the suburbs is the challenge for the current and future generations of planners, designers and developers just as downtown revitalization was that which defined the efforts of the last. Many obstacles stand in the way of this vision. Among them are the difficulty of rewriting local zoning codes, the obstinacy of local officials, objections from neighborhood groups to change in any form, and the inexperienece and sometime hostility of the development community. But the biggest challenge will be obtaining inexperience and sometime hostility of the development zoning codes, the obstinacy of local officials, objections the efforts of the last. Many obstacles stand in the way of ers just as downtown revitalization was that which defined the equity base of the banking industry was lost, some of those rules once produced older suburbs, and they are currently producing even lower-density communities on the elusive fringe. Learning how this system works, and how it may be influenced to accept different models, should be one of the top concerns of advocates of change. A brief history lesson is an important place to start.

Real Estate Is Dead, Long Live Real Estate

During the 1980s, the American real estate industry engaged in the largest building boom in the country’s history. More office buildings went up during that decade than since the founding of the Republic. Huge single-story industrial buildings were constructed that could contain multiple football fields; and retailing evolved to produce what we now call big boxes in power centers. The vast majority of this space was built in the suburbs at low suburban densities. To finance this building boom, real estate developers borrowed billions of dollars from the savings and loan industry, commercial banks, insurance companies, pension plans, individual investors, and others. Unfortunately...the developers forgot to pay much of it back.

In the most spectacular real estate failure since the Great Depression, the overbuilding of the 1980s resulted in the massive financial hangover of the early 1990s. Much of the S&L industry went bust, a significant amount of the equity base of the banking industry was lost, some of the oldest insurance companies disappeared, and many individuals lost their life’s savings. Eventually, it took $1.5 trillion in federal taxpayer money and the impressive work of the federally chartered Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) to right the country’s financial system. When the full magnitude of the disaster became clear, the Federal Reserve also decided that controls needed to be put on the real estate industry, and it turned to Wall Street investment banks, in part, to help impose them.

The controls imposed in the early 1990s focused on both components of real estate finance: debt and equity. On the debt side, the RTC created a secondary market for commercial and residential real estate borrowing. On the equity side, investment bankers and virtually bankrupt commercial, apartment and industrial real estate developers rediscovered a nearly forgotten IRS corporate category for owning real estate assets, real estate investment trusts. In a brilliant reversal of fortunes, REITs provided liquidity for what had been previously considered one of the most illiquid of assets. Amidst the real estate investment debacle of the early 1990s nearly 100 REITs of the class of 1993 succeeded in taking nearly worthless portfolios of commercial, apartment and industrial properties to publicly traded equity markets (primarily the New York Stock Exchange NYSE), where they found institutions and individuals willing to buy them.

The success did not stop there. There are now some 180 publicly traded REITs, with a total asset value of more than $400 billion. Not to be outdone, Wall Street in the 1990s also fell in love (as it has numerous times over the previous forty years) with publicly traded equity markets (primarily the New York Stock Exchange NYSE), where they found institutions and individuals willing to buy them.

Subhead Why is this history so important to the revitalization of suburbia? The answer is that while good design should, and many times does, change the built environment, most times it is financial interest that dictates what will be built. Why is this history important to Wall Street, and modern financial markets in general, provide an efficient means of pricing and trading assets as they have since their emergence in Holland in the sixteenth century. What undergirds this efficiency is a guarantee that trading takes place like for like. No NYSE or Chicago Commodity floor trader examines the fine print on a share of Intel class B stock, a grade A pork belly, or a Euro-denominated bond. A massive underwriting system, managed by investment bankers and credit-rating agencies, guarantees that what is traded is the same. As William Bernstein wrote in The Birth of Plenty, An efficient market is one where buyers and sellers freely and openly transact business in high volume at nearly identical prices.

As mentioned, the main benefits of access to the new market for real estate developers has been access to financing and dispersal of investment risk. But to participate in it, they had to have what they build, and this has meant ensuring that each unit of each product type is adequately similar to the others. Such a situation has very quickly led to what can be called the Nineteen Standard Real Estate Products, listed in the accompanying chart.

From an investment point of view, commodification has also resulted in extreme specialization. REITs’ today nearly all specialize in one product type or another. A banker may spend an entire career understanding the suburban office product, and as a Wall Street investment analyst may focus 100 percent of his or her time understanding the details of entry-level, for-sale housing and the companies that produce it. An entire company may even devote its considerable energies and capital to making money in suburban rental apartments.

Perhaps more to the point in a discussion of placemaking, commodification has also required the elimination of external influences. Thus, each of the nineteen product types is modular and stand-alone, a condition which almost guarantees low-density sprawl. In fact, suburban sprawl, which has a floor area ratio (FAR) of 0.2 to 0.4, is now evolving into semi-rural sprawl, which has an FAR of less than 0.1.25
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Take the neighborhood retail center product type. It is today built on a 12- to 15-acre site located on the going-home side of a four-to-eight-lane highway that carries a minimum of 2,500 cars per day. It is shaped in an L, with about 20 percent of its site covered by 100,000-150,000 sq. ft. of one-story stores. The stores will be placed away from the highway, though there may be a couple of out-parcels for fast-food, chain restaurants, or banks. At its ends, it will be anchored by a 45,000-55,000-sq.-ft. chain grocery store and a 15,000-25,000-sq.-ft. chain drug store. In between will be the outlets of smaller national and regional chains. Furthermore, the drug store, bank, and fast-food restaurants will all have drive-up windows; the bulk of the site will be covered by asphalt; and behind the stores will be a driveway for trucks to deliver goods and haul away trash. In terms of market, such a neighborhood center will serve at least 20,000 households in a two-to-three mile radius, whose incomes will be at or above the national median income level. Virtually everyone will get there by car.

This form of center will be the same, whether located in Southern California, New Mexico, or Virginia. However, at the last moment, the center in Southern California may have Mediterranean tiles added to its roof, the New Mexico center may have fake vigas applied to give it an adobe pueblo look, and the Virginia center will receive a brick Williamsburg facade.

Such a design may seem excessively crude to most architects. But from a finance and marketing standpoint, there is good reason why the neighborhood retail center is less architecture than billboard: it needs to catch the eye of the motorist passing by at 55-65 miles an hour. In the nanosecond the driver can devote to it, it must yell back grocery store!

**Predictability, Not Innovation**

Resistance to such restrictive formulas has already been tried. When REITs came on the scene in the 1990s, two initially attempted to develop and own mixed-use, walkable places that did not conform to the standard product types. One, Federal Realty, built the notable Bethesda Row outside Washington, D.C., which combined ground-floor retail with housing and office above. With structured parking hidden behind, this was one of the first Main Street projects in the country.

The other was Post Properties. Working closely with Andres Duany and his architecture and planning firm, DPZ, it aspired to becoming the new First New Urbanist developer. Today its best-known projects include Riverside in Atlanta and Addison Circle in Dallas, both mixed-use housing projects with some ground-floor retail wrapped around structured parking.

Unfortunately, in the late 1990s both Federal Realty and Post Properties fired their visionary CEOs and reverted to conventional, single-product development. The message was clear: Wall Street didn’t like experiments.

Today, building a nonconforming real estate development makes financing significantly more expensive and difficult to obtain. Most equity investors and banks, who may have spent much of the past twenty years specializing in a single product type, will not know how to evaluate the financial projections, and will simply refuse to underwrite the risk. Those willing to invest in something different will insist on a higher level of compensation.

With financial power vested in Wall Street (not to mention that most zoning codes essentially mandate the nineteen product types) how can anyone even think about building anything else? Do those who want to retrofit the suburbs to more dense, walkable patterns have a prayer of success? If smart growth is needed to contain sprawl, reverse the obesity epidemic, and begin to curb greenhouse emissions, is there any hope?

There may be. Although it may not be possible to change the multi-trillion-dollar real estate market, it may be possible to manipulate it through a strategy of co-optation.

**Alternative Types**

If the suburbs are to be retrofitted, alternative standard product types need to be invented that are mixed-use, integrated into a complex urban framework, and allow access by multiple transportation modes especially walking. Below are some such possible new standard real estate products, along with built examples from the city of Albuquerque.

**For-Sale Housing/Office over Retail.** Built up to the sidewalk of a walkable street, its ground floor will have retail rental space, while it will have for-sale housing or office space above. Parking can be located in an adjacent or immediately accessible structure, either above ground, underground, or between the retail and for-sale housing or office. Lower-density projects might use surface or tuck-under parking.

One example is the Gold Avenue Lofts, which fits a 12 for-sale housing units, nine for-sale offices, and 11,000 sq. ft. of retail rental on an 11,200-sq.-ft. parcel in downtown Albuquerque. Cars park in an immediately adjacent garage with direct access to the units by a dedicated elevator. While the density is 160 units to the acre, four times higher than anything ever built in the market, much lower densities could also be encompassed in this product type.

**Burying the Big Box behind Retail and Office/Housing.** This type locates a big-box retailer in the middle of a block and surrounds it with individually designed buildings with retail on the ground floor and either office or housing above. Parking for the big box, retail and office users can take place off site, while parking for those who live there can be located immediately adjacent or underground. An example of this type is the Century Theater Block in downtown Albuquerque. It includes a 50,000-sq.-ft., fourteen-screen movie theater surrounded by seven buildings, totaling 65,000 sq.ft., with rental retail on the ground floor and retail office space above.

**High-Density Rental Housing surrounding a Parking Structure with Retail at Grade.** Pioneered by Robert Shaw, formerly of Post Properties, this is a four-story stick-built apartment building that buries an immediately adjacent parking deck. The first floor can have rental retail to serve the built-in market as well as a local or regional market. An example is West Village in Uptown Dallas. This project includes 176 rental units and 150,000 sq. ft. of specialty retail. Retail with Office or Artists Lofts above. This product pairs rental retail on the ground floor with rental office or live-work space above. Developers have long struggled with what to do with the floor or floors above Main Street retail space. Artist loft space is inexpensive to build, provides activity 24 hours a day, may spin off a retail gallery, and can result in rents that make it feasible.

An example of this type is the historic San Ysidro Block in downtown Albuquerque. This has five rental retail spaces on the ground floor and 21 artists work lofts on the second floor.

---

**The Nineteen Standard Real Estate Product Types**
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These real estate products are the easiest and most acceptable to the conventional investment community. They are generally single product type, stand-alone developments with self-contained parking, though some mixed-use developments are now possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Products</th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Retail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build-to-Suit Office</td>
<td>Mixed-Use Urban Office/Retail</td>
<td>Restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Tenant Bulk Warehouse</td>
<td>Build-to-Suit Industrial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Anchored Neighborhood Center</td>
<td>Big Box Anchored Power Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LifeStyle Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Apartments</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Apartments</td>
<td>Sub-Storage Liquidation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Apartments</td>
<td>Mobile Home Park</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For-Sale Products</td>
<td>Entry Level</td>
<td>Move-Up Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Luxury Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement</td>
<td>Resi-Second Home</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes a variety of segments, e.g., assisted living, independent, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Take the neighborhood retail center product type. It is today built on a 12- to 15-acre site located on the going-home side of a four-to-eight-lane highway that carries a minimum of 2,000 cars per day. It is shaped in an L, with about 20 percent of its site covered by 100,000-125,000 sq. ft. of one-story stores. The stores will be placed away from the highway, though there may be a couple of out-parcels for fast-food, chain restaurants, or banks. At its ends, it will be anchored by a 45,000–55,000-sq.-ft. chain grocery store and a 15,000–25,000-sq.-ft. chain drug store. In between will be the outlets of smaller national and regional chains. Furthermore, the drug store, bank, and fast-food restaurants will all have drive-up windows; the bulk of the site will be covered by asphalt; and behind the stores will be a driveway for trucks to deliver goods and haul away trash. In terms of market, such a neighborhood center will serve at least 20,000 households in a two-to-three mile radius, whose incomes will be at or above the national median income level. Virtually everyone will get there by car.

This form of center will be the same, whether located in Southern California, New Mexico, or Virginia. However, at the last moment, the center in Southern California may have Mediterranean tiles added to its roof, the New Mexico center may have fake vigas applied to give it an adobe pueblo look, and the Virginia center will receive a Virginia Center.

Such a design may seem excessively crude to most architects. But from a finance and marketing standpoint, there is good reason why the neighborhood retail center is less architecture than billboard: it needs to catch the eye of the motorist passing by at 35–65 miles an hour. In the nanosecond the driver can devote to it, it must yell back of the motorist passing by at 35–65 miles an hour. In the nanosecond the developer can devote to it, it must yell back grocery store! Predictability, Not Innovation

Resistance to such restrictive formulas has already been tried. When REITs came on the scene in the 1990s, two initially attempted to develop and own mixed-use, walkable places that did not conform to the standard product types. One, Federal Realty, built the notable Bethesda Row outside Washington, D.C., which combined ground-floor retail with housing and office above. Parking was located in an immediately adjacent garage with direct access to the units by a dedicated elevator. The density was 160 units to the acre, four times higher than anything ever built in the market, much lower densities could also be encompassed in this product type.

Unfortunately, in the late 1990s both Federal Realty and Post Properties fired their visionary CEOs and reverted to the conventional, single-product development. The message was clear: Wall Street did not like experiments.

Today, building a nonconforming real estate development makes financing significantly more expensive and difficult to obtain. Most equity investors and bankers, who may have spent much of the past twenty years specializing in a single product type, will not know how to evaluate the financial projections, and will simply refuse to underwrite the risk. Those willing to invest in something different will insist on a higher level of compensation. With financial power vested in Wall Street, for example, the neighborhood retail center is less architecture than billboard: it needs to catch the eye of the motorist passing by at 35–65 miles an hour. In the nanosecond the developer can devote to it, it must yell back grocery store! Predictability, Not Innovation

Alternative Types

If the suburbs are to be retrofitted, alternative standard product types need to be invented that are mixed-use, integrated into a complex urban framework, and allow access by multiple transportation modes especially walking. Below are some such possible new standard real estate products, along with built examples from the city of Albuquerque.

For-Sale Housing/Office over Retail. Built up to the sidewalk of a walkable street, its ground floor will have rental retail space, while it will have for-sale housing or office space above. Parking can be located in an adjacent or immediately accessible structure, either above ground, underground, or between the retail and for-sale housing or office. Lower-density projects might use surface or underground parking.

One example is the Gold Avenue Lofts, which fits s 2 for-sale housing units, nine for-sale offices, and 11,000 sq. ft. of retail on an 11,000-sq.-ft. parcel in downtown Albuquerque. Cars park in an immediately adjacent garage with direct access to the units by a dedicated elevator. The density is 160 units to the acre, four times higher than anything ever built in the market, much lower densities could also be encompassed in this product type.

The other was Post Properties. Working closely with Andres Duany and his architecture and planning firm DPZ, it aspired to becoming the first New Urbanist developer in Atlanta and Addison Circle in Dallas, both mixed-use housing projects with some ground-floor retail wrapped around structured parking.

Unfortunately, in the late 1990s both Federal Realty and Post Properties fired their visionary CEOs and reverted to the conventional, single-product development. The message was clear: Wall Street did not like experiments.

Today, building a nonconforming real estate development makes financing significantly more expensive and difficult to obtain. Most equity investors and bankers, who may have spent much of the past twenty years specializing in a single product type, will not know how to evaluate the financial projections, and will simply refuse to underwrite the risk. Those willing to invest in something different will insist on a higher level of compensation. With financial power vested in Wall Street, the neighborhood retail center is less architecture than billboard: it needs to catch the eye of the motorist passing by at 35–65 miles an hour. In the nanosecond the developer can devote to it, it must yell back grocery store! Predictability, Not Innovation

Alternative Types

If the suburbs are to be retrofitted, alternative standard product types need to be invented that are mixed-use, integrated into a complex urban framework, and allow access by multiple transportation modes especially walking. Below are some such possible new standard real estate products, along with built examples from the city of Albuquerque.

For-Sale Housing/Office over Retail. Built up to the sidewalk of a walkable street, its ground floor will have rental retail space, while it will have for-sale housing or office space above. Parking can be located in an adjacent or immediately accessible structure, either above ground, underground, or between the retail and for-sale housing or office. Lower-density projects might use surface or underground parking.

One example is the Gold Avenue Lofts, which fits 12 for-sale housing units, nine for-sale offices, and 11,000 sq. ft. of retail on an 11,000-sq.-ft. parcel in downtown Albuquerque. Cars park in an immediately adjacent garage with direct access to the units by a dedicated elevator. The density is 160 units to the acre, four times higher than anything ever built in the market, much lower densities could also be encompassed in this product type.

Burying the Big Box behind Retail and Office/Housing. This type locates a big-box retailer in the middle of a block and surrounds it with individually designed buildings with retail on the ground floor and either office or housing above. Parking for the big box, retail and office users can take place off site, while parking for those who live there can be located immediately adjacent or underground.

An example of this type is the Century Theater Block in downtown Albuquerque. It includes 50,000 sq. ft., fourteen-screen movie theater surrounded by seven buildings, totaling 60,000 sq. ft., with rental retail on the ground floor and retail and office space above.

High-Density Rental Housing surrounding a Parking Structure with Retail at Grade. Pioneered by Robert Shaw, formerly of Post Properties, this is a four-story stick-built apartment building that buries an immediately adjacent parking deck. The first floor can have rental retail to serve the built-in market above as well as a local or regional market. An example is West Village in Uptown Dallas. This project includes 176 rental units and 130,000 sq. ft. of specialty retail.

Retail with Office or Artists Lofts above. This product pairs retail rental on the ground floor with retail office or live-work space above. Developers have long struggled with what to do with the floor or floors above Main Street retail space. Artist loft space is inexpensive to build, provides activity 24 hours a day, may spin off a retail gallery, and can result in rents that make it feasible.

An example of this type is the historic San Ysidro Block in downtown Albuquerque. This has five retail rental spaces on the ground floor and 22 artists work lofts on the second floor.

The Nineteen Standard Real Estate Product Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Products</th>
<th>Industrial</th>
<th>Multi-Tenant Bulk Warehouse</th>
<th>Gateway/Anchor Neighborhood Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Medical Office</td>
<td>Build-to-Suit Industrial</td>
<td>Big Box Anchored Power Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>Multi-Tenant Office</td>
<td>Build-to-Suit Commercial</td>
<td>Lifestyle Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental Apartments</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Apartments</td>
<td>Urban Apartments</td>
<td>Sub-Storage</td>
<td>Mobile Home Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>Budget Motel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For-Sale Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry Level</th>
<th>Move-Up Housing</th>
<th>Luxury Housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retirement *</td>
<td>Resort/Second Home</td>
<td>Hotel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Includes a variety of segments, e.g., assisted living, independent, etc.)
There is much romance to being a revolutionary outsider. But those of us who wish to bring real change to suburbia by retrofitting have a choice to make. We can stay outside the world of Wall Street-dominated real estate finance, discuss, and (occasionally) design and build precious, expensive alternatives. Or we can work hard to develop new product types that the mainstream can understand, accept, and prosper by developing and owning.1

Notes
1. 1.2 billion sq. ft. in the thirteenth largest metropolitan areas vs. 300 million sq. ft. in the same thirteen areas since the eighteenth century. See Robert E. Lang, Edgeless Cities: Exploring the Electric Metropolis (Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution Press, 2003), p. 54.
2. Robert Lang estimated that 69 percent of new office space was built in the suburbs during the decade of the 1980s (see Lang, Edgeless Cities, p. 54). But his statistics are conservative since they only include speculative, multitenant office space. They do not count corner or office space, which is the experience of this author, but and continues to build in the suburbs, though there is no data available to confirm this.
3. Importantly, the Japanese economy had an even worse financial hangover from the 1980s economic and real estate boom. Unfortunately, the Japanese government did not take the same drastic measures the RTC did in the United States. Those included taking over bankrupt financial institutions, writing down their real estate assets, and aggressively putting them back into circulation. Many economists think this failure to act, particularly with regard to the real estate bust of the late 1980s and early 1990s, was a major contributor to the stagnation of the Japanese economy through the 1990s and into the early part of this decade.
4. This has now increased to where it is larger than the market for corporate debt. In 2004 U.S. corporations issued $1.2 trillion in new debt, compared to $4.3 trillion of new commercial and residential real estate debt. The real estate figure is rather impossibly considering there was no secondary market for real estate debt twenty years earlier. See Gerten G. Mergenson, Wanted: Credit Ratings. Objective Ones, Pham, New York Times, Feb. 6, 2005.
5. Cohen and Steers is the largest family of REIT mutual funds. See FAQs about REITs at www.cleinberger.com.
8. This list was developed by the author using various real estate industry financing sources, such as NewFunding.com. The list changes over time as products become available, that fading off the list, the market becomes underemployed, that coming back on the list, or products are created, such as lifestyle retail in recent years.
9. In a discussion with the president of a regional-mall REIT in 1999, the author asked why his company would not even consider building a mixed-use (housing over specialty retail), walkable Main Street component in the parking lot of a flag
10. There is no commonly accepted terminology that precisely defines the charac
ter of different parts of U.S. metropolitan areas. In 1990 the author defined these as urban, suburban, and semi-rural, using floor-area ratios as a guide. This definition was first used in an article in Urban Land entitled “The Changing Location of Development and Investment Opportunities.” The article is available at www.cleinberger.com. Also see Lang, Edgeless Cities.
11. This is done by increasing the rate of return for the equity investor, or by increasing the interest rate of the construction or other loan.
12. Most of this research is proprietary for individual clients of investment firms. In the case of Robert Charles Lesser & Co., which the author has been Managing Director of for the 25 years, consumer research in Atlanta, Detroit, Albuquerque, El Paso, and other cities, using the same survey instrument, showed that between 32 and 37 percent of a scientific sample from throughout the metropolitan area wanted to live in walkable communities. The availability of such communities is coastal metropolitan areas is probably under 5 percent of the housing stock.
There is much romance to being a revolutionary outsider. But those of us who wish to bring real change to our world by retrofitting have a choice to make. We can stay outside the world of Wall Street-dominated real estate finance, discuss, and (occasionally) design and build precious, expensive alternatives. Or we can work hard to develop new product types that the mainstream can understand, accept, and prosper by developing and owning.14

Notes


2. Robert Lang estimated that 69 percent of new office space was built in the suburbs during the decade of the 1980s (see Lang, Edgeless Cities, p. 14). But his statistics are conservative since they only include speculative, multi-tenant office space. They do not count owner-occupy office space, which is in the experience of this author, has and continues to be built in the suburbs, though there is no data available to confirm this.

3. Importantly, the Japanese economy had an even worse financial hangover from the 1970s economic and real estate boom. Unfortunately, the Japanese government did not take the same drastic measures the RTC did in the United States. These included taking over bankrupt financial institutions, writing down their real estate assets, and aggressively putting them back into circulation. Many economists think this failure to act, particularly with regard to the real estate bust of the late 1980s and early 1990s, was a major contributor to the stagnation of the Japanese economy through 1990s and into the early part of this decade. This has now increased to where it is larger than the market for corporate debt.

4. In 2004 U.S. corporations issued $1.2 trillion in new debt, compared to $2.4 trillion of new commercial and institutional real estate debt. The real estate figure is rather impressive considering there was no secondary market for real estate debt twenty years earlier. See Grace Horgan, Warted: Credit Ratings (Objective Ones, Plume, New York Times, Feb. 6, 2005).

5. Cohen and Steers is the largest family of REIT mutual funds. See FAQs about REITs at www.cleinberger.com.


8. This list was developed by the author using various real estate industry financing sources, such as NetFunding.com. The list changes over time as products become available. The failure of wall street, or new products are created, such as lifestyle retail in recent years.

9. In a discussion with the president of a regional REIT in 1999, the author asked why his company would not even consider building a mixed-use (housing over specialty retail) walkable Main Street component in the parking lot of a flagship mall. The president pulled out a recent front-page story from the Wall Street Journal that took his company to task for having a construction company that built

10. There is no commonly accepted terminology that precisely defines the character of different parts of U.S. metropolitan areas. In 1997 the author defined these as urban, suburban, and semi-rural, using floor-area ratios as a guide. This definition was first used in an article in Urban Land entitled: “The Changing Location of Development and Investment Opportunities.” The article is available at www.cleinberger.com. Also see Lang, Edgeless Cities.

11. It is done by increasing the rates of return for the equity investors, or by increasing the interest rate on the construction loan.

12. Most of this research is proprietary for individual clients of investment firms. In the case of Robert Charles Lesser & Co., which has been Managing Director of the 25 years, consumer research in Atlanta, Detroit, Albuquerque, El Paso, and other cities, using the same survey instrument, showed that between the 30 and 37 percent of a scientific sample from throughout the metropolitan area wanted to live in walkable communities. The availability of such communities in those metropolitan areas is probably under 5 percent of the housing stock.


Subhead

There are undoubtedly many other types that might help establish alternatives to the sprawl-producing nineteen standard products. But all will need to be documented from the perspective of market acceptance, design and most importantly, financial performance. To be considered conforming, the real estate and finance industries will also need to be educated about how these products work.

The most hopeful indicator that such a strategy may bear fruit is that a significant number of consumers who want such alternatives. Market research by firms such as Robert Charles Lesser & Co. and Zimmerman-Volk has shown that between 30 and 50 percent of target populations want such alternatives. Market research by firms such as Robert Charles Lesser & Co. and Zimmerman-Volk has shown that between 30 and 50 percent of target populations want such alternatives. Market research by firms such as Robert Charles Lesser & Co. and Zimmerman-Volk has shown that between 30 and 50 percent of target populations want such alternatives.